

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/918,702	BENVENISTY, NISSIM
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Deborah Crouch, Ph.D.	1632

All Participants:

(1) Deborah Crouch, Ph.D.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Joe Woitach.

(2) Barbara Carter.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: June 1, 2005

Time: 11:00

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Obviousness

Claims discussed:

of record

Prior art documents discussed:

of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Deborah Crouch

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I suggested that applicant amend the claims to methods of directed differentiation to produce neuronal cells for allowance, with the limitation of chemically dissociating the stem cells. This will overcome the art rejection and not raise new issues.. Ms Carter will discuss this with her client. .